

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,681	12/10/2004	Stephen Morriss	19487-005US1	1921	
26231	7590 04/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			ROSENBAUM, MARK		
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3725		
			DATE MAILED: 04/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/517,681	MORRISS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Rosenbaum	3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/23/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)				

Application/Control Number: 10/517,681

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,4,8-12,16,18,20,24-27,30 ARE rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as being anticipated by Leturmy et al. This patent discloses method and apparatus for recovering toner from cartridges.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2,5-7,13-15,17,21-23,28,29,31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leturmy et al. The limitations of these claims would have been obvious modifications only once the basic process and apparatus was known. For example, the use of a trommel to further agitate pieces for material recovery is well known in the art and of no patentable merit.

Claims 1,2,4-18,20-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Japanese '125 publication. This publication discloses the basic

Art Unit: 3725

process and apparatus except for the agitation of the material to perfect the separation step. However, the use of agitation in separation is well known in the separating art. Therefore, in order to perfect the separation step, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Japanese '125 publication by providing an agitating means since such a means is well known in the separating art. The remaining limitations of these claims would have been obvious modifications only once the basic process and apparatus was known. For example, the use of a trommel to further agitate pieces for material recovery is well known in the art and of no patentable merit.

Claims 3,19,32,33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leturmy et al or the Japanese '125 publication as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of either Jaimes et al or the Japanese '090 publication. The basic patents do not provide for the use of ionized air which may result in uncontrollable explosions. Both secondary references solve this problem by disclosing similar process and apparatus including the use of ionized air to prevent explosions from occurring. In order to prevent explosions from occurring, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify either Leturmy et al or the Japanese '125 publication by providing ionized air within the operation, taught to be desirable by both Jaimes and the Japanese '090 publication.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 571-272-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,681

Art Unit: 3725

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Rosenbaum Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725
